

DOCKET NO.: **SI-0006
Application No.: 11/506,383
Office Action Dated: March 15, 2010

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:
Jeffrey G. Anderson et al.

Confirmation No.: **7745**

Application No.: **11/506,383**

Group Art Unit: **2451**

Filing Date: **August 18, 2006**

Examiner: **Karen C. Tang**

For: **LOCAL AGENT FOR REMOTE FILE ACCESS SYSTEM**

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.116

In response to the Official Action dated **March 15, 2010**, reconsideration is respectfully requested in view of the amendments and/or remarks as indicated below:

- ☐ **Amendments to the Specification** begin on page **Error! Bookmark not defined.** of this paper.
- ☒ **Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of the claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.
- ☐ **Amendments to the Drawings** begin on page of this paper and include an attached replacement sheet.
- ☒ **Remarks** begin on page **Error! Bookmark not defined.** of this paper.

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

Listing of Claims:

21. (Previously Presented) A system comprising a hardware processor and a computer-readable storage device storing a computer program that implements functions comprising:

a transmission control protocol/internet protocol stack for network communication with a server over a network;

an extensible markup language input/output parser, communicatively coupled to the transmission control/internet protocol stack, for breaking down data and commands;

a simple object access protocol interpreter, communicatively coupled to the extensible markup language input/output parser, for creating file system instructions to poll the server for a task request requesting at least one of a file structure information describing a file structure of the system or a file residing on the system, and retrieve the file structure information or the file specified in the task request, wherein said task request is generated by a remote client computer and associated with the remote client computer; and

a task processor, communicatively coupled to the simple object access protocol interpreter, for executing subsystem instructions and initiating poll commands, based on a schedule timer, wherein the subsystem instructions comprise instructions for waiting for said schedule timer to expire and for repeating at least the act of initiating poll commands.

Comment [hg1]: The system asks the server (computer #1) for a task request

Comment [hg2]: The task request is for a file on "the system" (computer #2), i.e., the system is asking for a request for a file that it is storing.

Comment [hg3]: The task request came from a remote computer (computer #3). There is a 3-way interaction here. Remote computer <-> server <-> system.

Notes:

- In Jameson, data from web pages is formatted for viewing on low bandwidth devices (see paragraph [0015]).
- A script is sent by a remote device to a script manager on a server. The script manager (or a further downstream function) retrieves the web pages and makes them available to the remote computer.
- There is no association between the 3 computers as recited in the claim.

Additional items for discussion

- 101 issue – possible amendment to address
- 112 issue – possible amendment to address